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ABSTRACT

MEMS technology is rapidly taking an important role in today’s and future military systems. MEMS are able to lower the device size from millimeter to micrometer and maintain and sometimes surpass the performance of conventional devices. This thesis encompasses the knowledge acquired throughout the MEMS courses to design a two-axis capacitive accelerometer. The required acceleration and operating temperature range were ±50g in each axis and −40°C to +85°C, respectively. The accelerometer was also needed to survive within a dynamic shocking environment with accelerations of up to 225g. The parameters of the accelerometer to achieve above specifications were calculated using lumped element approximation and the results were used for initial layout of it. A finite element analysis code (ANSYS) was used to perform simulations of the accelerometer under various operating conditions and to determine the optimum configuration. The simulated results were found to be within about 5% of the calculations indicating the validity of lumped element approach. The response of the designed accelerometer was 7 mV/g and with sensitivity of 1.3g at 3dB. It was also found that the accelerometer was stable in the desired range of operation including under the shock. Two axes sensing can be achieved using two identical accelerometers having their sensing axes perpendicular to each other.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

The use of microelectronic processing techniques to fabricate miniature mechanical devices has attracted considerable attention during the last decade [1]. These devices are generally known as micro electro mechanical systems or MEMS [2][3]. The current MEMS technology allows integration of mechanical, optical, fluidic, and electrical components [4]. Because of the advantage of size ranging from millimeter to micrometer, MEMS devices broaden their applications to many fields from commercial to medical and military products. There are many successful commercial MEMS products available in the market, such as inertial guide system, accelerometer, pressure and chemical sensors, micro-optics and micro fluidic pumps [5].

Accelerometers are part of the most successful MEMS products available since 1980's. The basic mechanism of sensing the acceleration is to monitor the displacement of a proof mass attached to a spring as schematically illustrated in Figure 1.

If the displacement of the proof mass is \( \delta x \) for a given acceleration (a), the relationship between a and dx can be written as Equation (1)[6],

![Figure 1. Basic accelerometer operation mechanism.](image-url)
where $k$ is the spring constant and $\omega_o$ is the resonant frequency of the system. It can be seen from Equation (1) that for higher sensitivity it is necessary to have a lower resonant frequency or a softer spring for a given mass. However, such a system would have a slower response time and sudden changes of acceleration may not be measured accurately [6]. Note that the above quasi-static derivation is true only for acceleration changes are slower than the resonant frequency [6]. For applications involving rapid changes of acceleration, a higher resonant frequency is needed which can lower the resolution as expected from Equation (1). There are several approaches of sensing the amount of displacement of the proof mass that include as piezoresistive [7], capacitive [8][9], tunneling [10][11] and optical [12][13] changes. The capacitive accelerometers play an important role due to their good temperature stability, high sensitivity and low power consumption [14]. In the following, a brief description of different acceleration sensing mechanisms employed to date will be presented.

1. Capacitive Accelerometer

In a capacitive accelerometer, the displacement of the proof mass is monitored by changes in capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor where one of the plates is attached to a spring as schematically illustrated in Figure 2. When the external acceleration is applied to the accelerometer, the moving plate (proof mass) will move from its rest position that changes the capacitance between the moveable and fixed plates. The change in capacitance is usually measured using bridge circuit and will be discussed in detail later.
2. **Tunneling Accelerometer**

Tunneling accelerometer utilizes the tunneling current between a tunneling tip attached to the proof mass and counter-electrode to sense the displacement as shown in Figure 3.

The operation tunneling accelerometer requires the tip and the counter-electrode separation within a few angstroms. During the operation a constant tunneling current is maintained between the tip and electrode using an external circuit. Under acceleration the separation between the tip and electrode changes which in turn affects the tunneling current and the circuit will respond to the current change and adjust the voltage to restore
the tunneling current. The amount of voltage needed can be correlated with the acceleration [10]. This type of accelerometer yields very high sensitivity due to the fact that the tunneling current is very sensitive to the displacement [11]. The drawbacks of this design are large noise levels at low frequencies as well as requirement for a high voltage power supply for maintaining tunneling current. Lately there have been some efforts to develop a low-voltage version of the tunneling accelerometer with the hope of mitigating some of the aforementioned problems [15].

3. Piezoresistive Accelerometer

The piezoresistive accelerometer is based on strain gauge technology and is best suited for low frequency applications [7]. It was the first micromachined accelerometer produced and one of the first to be commercialized [7]. The operation principle is based on the change of resistance due to stress generated by deflection of Si beams attached to the proof mass as illustrated in Figure 4. By positioning the silicon piezoresistors at the highest stress point (usually where the beam attaches the substrate) the resistance change can be maximized. Subsequently, a resistive half-bridge or full bridge can be formed by employing two or four piezoresistors to measure the resistance change [7].

Figure 4. Schematic diagram of a piezoresistive accelerometer.
The main advantages of piezoresistive accelerometers are their simple structure and fabrication processes. However, comparing to capacitive accelerometers, the larger temperature sensitivity and smaller overall sensitivity are its drawbacks [16].

4. Thermal Accelerometer

Thermal accelerometers take advantage of heat transfer characteristics between two plates of which one acts as a heater and the other as the heat sink [13]. The heat flow rate is inversely proportional to their separation distance [13]. If one plate can move relative to the other during the acceleration, the heat flow will change which affects the temperature of the heat sink. This temperature can be measured using thermopiles which can be correlated to the amount of acceleration. Another type of thermal accelerometer that does not have any moving mechanical parts, it instead uses free-convection heat transfer of a small hot air bubble in a seal chamber as its fundamental working function [13]. Thermally isolated heaters in this device form a hot air bubble. Because of the acceleration, the heat distribution of the bubble will be changed and becomes asymmetric with respect to the heater. By utilizing two symmetrically placed temperature sensors, the heat profile and acceleration can be measured. The initial prototype’s sensitivity can approach 0.6 mg, but has a theoretical limit of sub-μg sensitivity [13].
II. REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

A. REQUIREMENTS

This work involved the design of a two-axis (x, y) accelerometer, which can sense the acceleration on a plane with specific parameters. The accelerometer should have the ability to measure the acceleration of $\pm 50g$ while able to maintain a 20 kHz frequency response for each axis. The accelerometer should also survive a maximum shock of $\pm 225g$ in any direction. The design’s layout should fit within an area of 1 cm$^2$. The operating temperature for the accelerometer was $-40^\circ C$ to $+85^\circ C$, but should be able to survive in temperatures from $-45^\circ C$ to $+125^\circ C$. To reduce operational costs, a self-test function should be designed in place and not required an operator. All of these requirements make up the limitations of the accelerometer designed in this thesis. Table 1 summarized these requirements.

Table 1. Requirements for capacitive accelerometer design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Surviving shock</th>
<th>Surviving Temperature</th>
<th>Operating Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>$\pm 50g$</td>
<td>$\pm 225g$</td>
<td>$-45^\circ C$ to $+125^\circ C$</td>
<td>$-40^\circ C$ to $+85^\circ C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$\pm 50g$</td>
<td>$\pm 225g$</td>
<td>$-45^\circ C$ to $+125^\circ C$</td>
<td>$-40^\circ C$ to $+85^\circ C$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. DESIGN TOOLS

The entire design was carried out using MEMS Pro software available to us and the fabrication to be compatible with the PolyMUMPs process available via MEMSCAP [17]. It included L-edit, S-edit, T-spice and ANSYS for layout and various simulations. Each tool has its unique function. The MEMS library came with the design software
provided a number of components and schematics to assemble and to conduct simulations. The following were the main tools used to perform the work in this thesis.

1. **L-Edit**

   L-Edit was a graphical layout editor for MEMS devices. The use of different colors, predefined in the system, represented different layers, which correlate to the various microfabrication processes. The design process was drastically simplified, to the point that it appeared, at times, that we were simply drawing a picture. In addition to the fundamental components such as: box, polygon, circle, line and wire; the library also provided complex models, such as: suspension elements and electro-mechanical transducers. The powerful 3-D model simulation function clearly constructed the device step by step as if undergoing the actual microfabrication processes; this made very easy to identify design flaws in the early stages.

2. **ANSYS**

   ANSYS was a finite element analysis software capable of performing multi-physics simulations. In other words, ANSYS provided the ability of performing structural, thermal, electrostatic, electromagnetic, and fluidic analysis all at once. Using the graphical user interface in conjunction with an ANSYS scripting language, we could program customized functions to build the computer models and/or transfer the CAD models, into the simulation environment, properly mesh the MEMS devices, assigned boundary conditions, solved the problems and viewed the results. [18]. Therefore, it was possible to quickly change the model’s dimensions, material properties, displacements and stresses.

C. **EVALUATION**

   The literature search on different accelerometer designs revealed that all the approaches have their unique characteristics with both advantages and shortcomings. The simplicity of design and high reliability when compared to other designs prompted us to use differential capacitive accelerometer concept over others [8].
The fundamental operating principle for capacitive accelerometer was as follows: a moveable proof mass connected between two fixed springs (see Figure 5) would be activated when an acceleration was applied to create a force. Hence, under this acceleration, the gap between the two different voltage electrodes would change and create a differential capacitor. Thus the change in capacitance could be measured which can be translated to the amount of acceleration. This was the most popular approach and has been adopted working function used in all of the commercial airbag sensors due to its ruggedness and high sensitivity [14]. The design presented in this thesis geared towards the use of a multi-user microfabrication facility/process known as PolyMUMPS. The decision to design the accelerometer with this predefined process in mind was tied down to the low costs involved when using such multi-user facilities and also due to the very high reliability of this microfabrication process, which has turned out over 69 different fabrication runs with outstanding success [19].

![Figure 5. Schematic of Accelerometer.](image)

The PolyMUMPS process, started in 1992, was a surface micromachining process containing a series of coating and etching procedures [17]. It contained three-layers of doped polysilicon, which worked as both structural and conducting layers. The
polysilicon layers were separated by silicon dioxide layers that acted as sacrificial layers. In addition there was a silicon nitride layer at the bottom for isolation and metal on the top for making electrical contacts. This was the most popular process that can be used for fabricating variety of MEMS designs. Other more complex processes involving up-to 5 structural layers were available (such as the Summit IV process from SANDIA National labs,) but the extra layers were deemed not necessary for our accelerometer design. The three structural layers were sufficient for the current design of the two-axis accelerometer and preliminary calculations indicate that 3 layers were also sufficient for extending the design to 3-axes.

Since both the x and the y-axis laid on the same plane it made sense to create identical accelerometers for both axes but position them orthogonal to each other. In addition to simplifying the accelerometer design and device layout, it also effectively reduced the possible errors during the design. In order to maximize the sensing area of the device, the x and y-axis accelerometer were designed to be long and contained a large number of differential capacitor units. Before drawing the accelerometer in L-Edit, hand calculations and spreadsheet computations in EXCEL played a major role in this work. Subsequent finite element simulations in ANSYS were performed to verify the results and to come-up with an optimized design.

It was a common practice to include a test section where an internal force can be applied for self-testing of the performance. This can be achieved by using a capacitor with a moving plate as illustrated in Figure 2.

The accelerometer was composed of a center plate (proof mass) that was suspended between a pair of springs. Comb fingers (called electrodes) attached to the two sides of the plate created a differential capacitor by interacting with the outside fixed electrodes as shown in Figure 5. The moveable and fixed electrodes were the two fundamental components of differential capacitor sensor. The former electrodes attached to the central plate were responsible for sensing acceleration. The latter ones were the voltage suppliers. Movement of the electrodes changed the differential capacitance, which was measured by the chip circuitry.
III. DESIGN METHODOLOGY

In the previous chapter, we have described the operational principle of capacitive accelerometers and various components necessary for sensing the acceleration as well as self-testing. This chapter presented the analysis of the design of various components to satisfy the design requirements.

A. SPRING

Under acceleration, the proof mass of the accelerometer experienced a force. The displacement of the proof mass will compress one spring and stretch the other until the force due to acceleration cancelled by the spring forces. Thus, the combined spring constant of the springs will determine the amount of proof mass displacement and hence the signal generated by the sensing capacitors. Therefore, how to choose a suitable spring became a key piece of this work. In MEMS the most convenient way to make a spring was to use a folded structure as shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Schematic diagram of a folded spring made of polysilicon [From: Ref. 20].](image)

The spring was composed of four beams made of polysilicon layers. The red square area in Figure 6 was the anchor, fixing the spring on to the substrate. The central plate (proof mass) was connected from the bottom black area to the other symmetrical
spring. The spring constant \( (K) \) determined the extent of displacement of the proof mass and could be calculated by the formula in Equation (2) [21]

\[
K = \left( \frac{\pi^4}{6} \right) \left[ \frac{EWH^3}{(2L_1)^3 + (2L_2)^3} \right]
\]  

(2)

Where \( E \) was the Young’s modulus of polysilicon, \( W \) was the beam width, \( H \) was the beam thickness and \( L_1, L_2 \) were the beam lengths as shown in Figure 6.

From the above formula it can be seen that the spring constant was controlled by the lengths \((L_1 \text{ and } L_2)\) if the \( H \) and \( W \) were fixed. Other important parameter in the design of an accelerometer was the proof mass \((m)\) since the spring constant and proof mass determined the resonant frequency \((\omega_0)\) as given in Equation (3):

\[
\omega_0 = 2\pi f = \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}} \rightarrow m = \frac{K}{\omega_0^2}
\]

(3)

The resonant frequency determined how fast the accelerometer can responds to a changing acceleration or the bandwidth of operation. Our design required the bandwidth to be 20 kHz and it was necessary to set the resonant frequency to be higher than the bandwidth to avoid unstable operation [22]. In the present design, the resonant frequency was set to be about five times the bandwidth or 100 kHz. For simplicity, lengths \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) assumed to be the same and the dimensions of \( W \) and \( H \) were also taken to be the same as the polysilicon layer thickness (2 \( \mu \)m). In order to estimate the proof mass and that gives resonant frequency of about 100 kHz, we have first calculated the total spring constant due to the two springs \((2K)\) using Equation (3) and the results were shown in upper section of Table 2. Next, we have calculated the resonant frequency using the calculated spring constants and varying the proof mass and the results were shown in the lower section of Table 2.
Table 2. Calculation of spring constant for different combination of length, spring constant and mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L1 (m)</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L2 (m)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*k (N/m)</td>
<td>1.14E+02</td>
<td>2.25E+01</td>
<td>1.42E+01</td>
<td>9.51E+00</td>
<td>6.68E+00</td>
<td>2.44E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m (kg)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
<td>f_n (kHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76E-10</td>
<td>127.8633</td>
<td>56.9668</td>
<td>45.2066</td>
<td>37.0010</td>
<td>31.0088</td>
<td>18.7212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.86E-10</td>
<td>124.3786</td>
<td>55.4143</td>
<td>43.9745</td>
<td>35.9926</td>
<td>30.1637</td>
<td>18.2110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.96E-10</td>
<td>121.1641</td>
<td>53.9821</td>
<td>42.8381</td>
<td>35.0624</td>
<td>29.3841</td>
<td>17.7403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.06E-10</td>
<td>118.1867</td>
<td>52.6556</td>
<td>41.7854</td>
<td>34.2008</td>
<td>28.6621</td>
<td>17.3044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16E-10</td>
<td>115.4184</td>
<td>51.4223</td>
<td>40.8067</td>
<td>33.3997</td>
<td>27.9907</td>
<td>16.8991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.26E-10</td>
<td>112.8360</td>
<td>50.2717</td>
<td>39.8936</td>
<td>32.6524</td>
<td>27.3645</td>
<td>16.5210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.46E-10</td>
<td>108.1520</td>
<td>48.1848</td>
<td>38.2376</td>
<td>31.2970</td>
<td>26.2285</td>
<td>15.8352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.56E-10</td>
<td>106.0186</td>
<td>47.2344</td>
<td>37.4833</td>
<td>30.6796</td>
<td>25.7111</td>
<td>15.5228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.66E-10</td>
<td>104.0067</td>
<td>46.3380</td>
<td>36.7720</td>
<td>30.0974</td>
<td>25.2232</td>
<td>15.2282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.76E-10</td>
<td>102.1051</td>
<td>45.4908</td>
<td>36.0997</td>
<td>29.5471</td>
<td>24.7620</td>
<td>14.9498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.81E-10</td>
<td>101.193</td>
<td>45.0842</td>
<td>35.7771</td>
<td>29.2831</td>
<td>24.5408</td>
<td>14.8162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.86E-10</td>
<td>100.3042</td>
<td>44.6884</td>
<td>35.4629</td>
<td>29.0260</td>
<td>24.3253</td>
<td>14.6861</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis showed that in order to achieve 100 kHz resonant frequency, it necessary to have $L_1 = L_2 = 35 \mu m$ and proof mass of $2.81 \times 10^{-10}$ Kg (less than 0.3 ng).

### B. PROOF MASS AND ELECTRODES

The proof mass included the masses of the central plate and all the moving electrodes as illustrated in Figure 7. In order to perform the self-test function, the whole structure was separated into two parts, the sensing region and the self-test region.
1. **Sensing Region**

Sensing region was responsible for detecting motion when the acceleration was applied. According to Table 2, the proof mass including the central plate and all of the connected electrodes should be limited to about $2.81 \times 10^{-10}$ Kg. The attached finger-like polysilicon structure in the central plate was the sensing element.

The moving and fixed fingers formed a parallel plate capacitor and the capacitance can be estimated using Equation (4).

$$C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A}{g_{up}}$$

Where $\varepsilon_0$ was the permittivity, $A$ was the total overlap area between all the fingers in the sensing region and $g_{up}$ was the separation between adjacent fingers. Since the changing capacitance was proportional to the area ($A$), for achieving higher signal it was necessary to increase the area or number of fingers. By setting the value of the capacitance to be about 100 fF, the number of fingers required was found to be about 112. The parameters used in this estimation were summarized in Table 3. These electrodes can be placed on either side of the proof mass as illustrated in Figure 7.
Table 3. Parameters of electrodes attached to central plate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensing Electrodes</th>
<th>Design Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Length (µm)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap Length (µm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (µm)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (µm)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gap between fingers (µm)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ε₀ (F/m)</strong></td>
<td>8.854 × 10⁻¹²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density of Polysilicon (Kg/m³)</strong></td>
<td>2330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The readout of the capacitance change was typically achieved by applying an AC voltage to the sensor capacitor. The frequency of the AC voltage was chosen to be away from the resonant frequency of the accelerometer (usually higher to reduce 1/f noise) to minimize the displacement of the fingers by electrostics forces within the sensor capacitor.

2. **Self-Test Region**

In this region, the design considerations were the same as that in the sensing region except for the applied voltages and number of electrodes. Initially, 20% of the sensing electrodes (24) were selected for generating an internal electrostatic force for self-testing. These were located on both sides of the central plate and were separated into 4 regions as shown in Figure 5. Each region was made of 6 electrodes and the dimensions were all the same as those found in Table 3.
3. Proof Mass

To achieve the required total mass, the dimensions of the central plate was determined by subtracting the mass of all the fingers (including the ones used for testing) and found to be 812 μm for length and 34 μm for width. The calculation of mass of the central plate and 136 electrodes was shown as below.

\[
m_{\text{central}} = \rho V = 812\mu m \times 34\mu m \times 2\mu m \times 2330Kg \quad m^3 = 1.29 \times 10^{-10} Kg
\]

\[
m_{112\text{ fingers}} = 136 \times 2\mu m \times 2\mu m \times 120\mu m \times 2330Kg \quad m^3 = 1.52 \times 10^{-10} Kg
\]

\[
M_{\text{proof}} = m_{\text{central}} + m_{112\text{ fingers}} = 2.31 \times 10^{-10} Kg
\]

Force on the proof mass was achieved by applying a DC voltage to the self-test capacitor. The amount of force as a function of DC voltage can be estimated using the stores energy (W) on the capacitor as followed:

\[
W = \frac{1}{2} C V^2
\]

Using Equation (5) the electrostatic force F can be found as

\[
F = -\nabla W = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial g_{ap}} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V^2}{2g_{ap}^2}
\]

If one plate was free and the other one was fixed in the parallel capacitor, it would mean that the free plate could be driven by electrostatic force. And the force controlled by voltage was inversely proportional to \(g_{ap}^2\) and directly proportional to \(V^2\). The force between each pair of movable and fixed electrodes was given by

\[
F = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V^2}{2g_{ap}^2} = \frac{(8.854 \times 10^{-12} F/m) \times (100\mu m \times 2\mu m) \times (15)}{2 \times (2\mu m)^2}
\]

\[
= 4.98 \times 10^{-8} Nt
\]
Combining Hook’s Law and Newton’s Second Law (Equation (7) as well as the effective spring constant, one could compute the displacement of the proof mass under the conditions of self-test, and under 50g and 225g of acceleration.

\[ F = kx = Ma \]  

(7)

Using the total mass of the proof mass of \(2.81 \times 10^{-10}\) Kg, the deflections under 50g and 225g were found to be \(1.20 \times 10^{-9}\) m and \(6.01 \times 10^{-9}\) m, respectively. The corresponding voltages required for achieving above displacements using the self-test capacitor can be estimated using Equation (6) and found to be about 5 V and 11 V, respectively.

At this point, all of the preliminary design parameters as well as operating consideration have been completed. The estimated design parameters of the accelerometer were used to lay out the design using L-Edit in MEMSPro software as shown in Figure 8. In addition to layout, L-edit also provided the parameters needed for finite element modeling using ANSYS software. ANSYS was then used to run 3-D simulations that took into account all nonlinearities in our design and the results were used to optimize and perform a system analysis of this accelerometer design. The 2-D and 3-D views of the various components of the accelerometer were shown in Figure 9 to Figure 12.
Figure 8. Schematic of accelerometer in L-edit.

Figure 9. 3-D highlight view of folded spring.
Figure 10. 3-D highlight view of fixed electrodes.

Figure 11. 3-D highlight view of moveable electrodes attached to central plate.
Figure 12. Highlight view of self-test and sensing region.
IV. SIMULATION AND COMPARISON

A. PROCESS

In order to perform a realistic simulation of the performance of the accelerometer, it was required to export the 3-D model file including boundary conditions from L-edit into ANSYS. Second, the ANSYS would perform the simulation depending on the various forces applied by the user. Since the proof mass activated the movement due to acceleration, the supplied force was also put on the central plate body and denoted as a force point in ANSYS. The simulated results would show displacements and stresses in each axis. However, the axial direction of the applied force should have a much larger displacement than the other two axes. The off-axis effects were important for the stability analysis, which will be discussed in detail later. According to the requirements described in Section I, the device should survive 225g of acceleration – note that measurements at these high acceleration levels were not required, but it should survive such shocks. The easiest way to make sure that it worked was to check whether the structure exceeded its stress limits under 225g.

B. RESULTS

1. 50g Force

The Figures 13-17 showed the ANSYS simulation of the designed accelerometer under a 50g force applied on the proof mass. In Figures 13-17, the amount of deflection was color coded with red being the highest deflection. The maximum deflection was found to be about $1.06 \times 10^{-9}$ m. If we highlighted the local area of the springs, it can be easily seen that the deflection was minimum near the anchors and increased gradually towards the center of the spring where the proof mass was connected. All of these results showed similar trends as the preliminary calculations presented in the previous chapter. Comparing to the deflection along the force direction (y-axis), the deflection of x-axis and z-axis were very small, 2% and 0.2% respectively. The difference between the preliminary calculations and the ANSYS full simulations was less than 5%. The calculated and ANSYS simulated deflections for 50g force were summarized in Table 4.
\[ \text{difference\%} = \frac{1.21 \times 10^{-9} - 1.16 \times 10^{-9}}{1.16 \times 10^{-9}} = 4.3\% \]

Table 4. Displacement of three axes under 50g force.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applied</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>50 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>1.37 \times 10^{-7} Nt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection</td>
<td>ux</td>
<td>2.53 \times 10^{-11} m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>uy</td>
<td>1.21 \times 10^{-9} m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>uz</td>
<td>2.40 \times 10^{-12} m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. Displacement along x-direction for 50g force using ANSYS.
Figure 14. Displacement along z-direction for 50g force using ANSYS.

Figure 15. Displacement along y-direction for 50g force using ANSYS.
Figure 16.  Expanded view of the spring compression along y-direction under 50g force.

Figure 17.  Expanded view of the spring expansion along y-direction under 50g force.
2. 225g Force

Similarly to the 50g simulation, one could put the force of 225g on the central plate. In this simulation, it was necessary to pay attention to stresses in the beams of the springs in addition to displacements. Because the connecting region of springs to proof mass displayed the maximum displacement, the ANSYS simulations were carried out highlighting these sections as shown in Figures 18-19. The simulated results yielded displacement of about $5.79 \times 10^{-9} m$ along the direction of the force (y direction). This was about a factor of 5 larger than the displacement under 50g force. The calculated value was higher than the simulation by almost 4%. The Table 5 summarized the calculated and simulated displacements.

$$\text{difference}\% = \frac{6.01 \times 10^{-9} - 5.79 \times 10^{-9}}{5.79 \times 10^{-9}} = 3.8\%$$

Table 5. Displacement of three axes under 225g force.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applied</td>
<td>Estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>225 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>6.85×10⁻⁷ Nt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection</td>
<td>Ux</td>
<td>1.25×10⁻¹⁰ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uy</td>
<td>6.01×10⁻⁹ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130 Mpa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the other two axes (x and z) yielded displacements of about $1.25 \times 10^{-10} m$ and $1.08 \times 10^{-11} m$, respectively. The ratio of displacement comparing to y-axis was the same as obtained for 50g force, 2% and 0.2% respectively. Meanwhile, the maximum stress in the anchor using the data in Figures 20-21 was about 2.3 MPa. It was still smaller than
material elastic limit of 130 MPa. This suggested that the springs can withstand forces larger than 225g.

Figure 18. Expanded y-displacement for compression side under 225g.

Figure 19. Expanded y-displacement for extension side under 225g.
Figure 20. Stress in y-direction for compression side under 225g

Figure 21. Stress in y-direction for extension side under 225g
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V. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

A. OUTPUT VOLTAGE AND DISPLACEMENT

The basic working principle of this accelerometer was based on the fact that under an external acceleration a proof mass was displaced a small distance which changed the gap distance between electrodes, which behaved as varying capacitors. Since the moveable electrodes were located between two fixed electrodes which were biased using two voltage supplies with equal magnitudes and opposite the device could be described by the following equivalent electrical circuit [23], where the $C_1$ and $C_2$ were variable capacitors.

![Equivalent electrical circuit of the accelerometer](From: Ref. 23)

It could be easily shown that the output voltage ($V_o$) of the circuit in Figure 22 was given by:

$$V_{out} = -V_s + \frac{C_1}{C_1 + C_2} [V_s - (-V_s)]$$

$$= \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_1 + C_2} V_s \quad (8)$$

The capacitances $C_1$ and $C_2$ were not fixed due to the motion of the electrodes attached to the proof mass. When the moveable electrodes were at rest position, the two capacitances were equal and the output voltage was zero. However, under acceleration
the movable electrodes displaced and the gaps between fixed and movable electrodes will change by amount of $\delta x$ as shown in Figure 23.

Figure 23. Displacement of moveable electrode due to acceleration.

Thus, the output voltage as a function of displacement ($\delta x$), original gap ($g_{ap}$) and input voltage magnitude ($V_s$) were given by:

\[
g_1 = g_{ap} - \delta x
\]

\[
g_2 = g_{ap} + \delta x
\]

\[
V_{out} = \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_1 + C_2} V_s = \frac{\varepsilon A \varepsilon A}{g_1 g_2} V_s = \frac{g_2 - g_1}{g_2 + g_1} V_s
\]

\[
= \frac{\delta x}{g_{ap}} V_s
\]

Since the displacement was related to the acceleration via

\[
\delta x = \frac{a}{\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2} = \frac{a}{\omega_0^2},
\]

where $\omega_0$ is the resonant frequency.
one could find that the output voltage and the applied acceleration has a linear relationship given in Equation (11).

\[ V_{out} = \frac{\delta x}{g_{ap}} \frac{V_s}{g_{ap} \omega_0^2} \]

(11)

The \( V_{out} \) was calculated using the design parameters for a given acceleration (0 to 50g) and the results were shown in Figure 24. In addition, the output voltage was also estimated using ANSYS simulation to obtain the displacement as shown in Table 6. From the Figure 24, it can be seen that the calculated and simulated output voltages matched with 5%. The linear relationship in Figure 24 was resulted from the linear dependence of output voltage with displacement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration (g)</th>
<th>Mass (Kg)</th>
<th>Displacement (m)</th>
<th>Voltage (volt)</th>
<th>Voltage Difference %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.81×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.42×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.33×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>1.81×10⁻³</td>
<td>1.75×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.83×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.67×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>3.62×10⁻³</td>
<td>3.50×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.25×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>6.99×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>5.44×10⁻³</td>
<td>5.24×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.66×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>9.30×10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>7.25×10⁻³</td>
<td>6.98×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.21×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>1.16×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>9.09×10⁻³</td>
<td>8.70×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. STABILITY ANALYSIS

The purpose of a stability analysis was to check whether the movable electrodes could remain within the stable equilibrium range when the various accelerations were applied. If the net force approached an unstable point, the electrodes would have the possibility to hit the fixed structures and arc and/or brake away. We could use the graph in Figure 25 to understand the stability of an accelerometer design [22]. It showed the normalized electrostatic and spring forces as a function normalized displacement. The equilibrium points correspond to when the two forces were the same as shown in Figure 26 by dark circles. For the parameters used in this example, there were two equilibrium points (P1 and P2). The stability of these points can be analyzed as follows.
The point P1 was stable since the any increase of the displacement will increase the repulsive spring force compared to the attractive electrostatic force that tended to reduce the displacement. Similarly for any reduction of displacement at this point will increase the attractive electrostatic force compared to the repulsive spring force, which tended to increase the displacement. Thus the point P1 was stable. The opposite was true for the point P2 making it unstable. However, as the bias voltage increased the curve for electrostatic force moves upwards as indicated in Figure 26 and the two points merged at a critical voltage known as the pull-in voltage [24]. Beyond this bias there were no equilibrium points and the accelerometer was unstable. At pull-in voltage, the displacement is equal to \( g_{ap} / 3 \) and the magnitude of the pull-in voltage can be obtained by [24]

\[
V_{pi} = \sqrt{\frac{8Kg_{ap}^2}{27\epsilon A}} \tag{12}
\]

The Figure 26 showed the two forces as a function of displacement for our accelerometer when the bias was equal to the pull-in voltage. Using Equation (12), it was found that the pull-in voltage of our device was 390 V. Thus, the input DC voltage of 11
V to achieve 225g during the self-test will not push the accelerometer into the unstable region.

![Pull-In Point Graph](image)

Figure 26. Electrostatic and spring forces at pull-in voltage.

C. ACCELERATION VS. SELF-TEST VOLTAGE

During the self-testing of the accelerometer, a DC bias was applied to the 24 self-test electrodes to exert an electrostatic force on the moving electrodes. Note that the voltage was applied to one of the fixed electrodes that sandwiched each moving electrode (see Figure 5) to avoid the cancellation of electrostatic forces if both the electrodes are biased. This force will move the proof mass along with the moving electrodes and equilibrium will reach when the spring and electrostatic forces were the same. The force generated by the DC bias can be calculated using Equation (13).

\[
F = ma = \frac{\varepsilon A V^2 (24)}{2g_{sp}^2}
\]  

(13)
The blue curve of Figure 27 showed the calculated acceleration as a function of DC bias. It can be seen that the 50g of acceleration can be obtained by applying about 5 V bias.

![Image of Acceleration vs Input Voltage](image)

Figure 27. Acceleration as a function of self-test voltage.

**D. SENSITIVITY**

The sensitivity of the accelerometer for a given acceleration can be estimated using

\[ V_{\text{out}} = \frac{a}{g_{\text{sp}} \omega_0^2} V_s. \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Using the design parameters, the sensitivity of the accelerometer was found to be about 7 mV/g. This corresponded to an output voltage of 0.36 V at 50g acceleration. It can be seen from Equation (14) the sensitivity was strongly dependent on the resonant frequency that depended on the required bandwidth of operation.
E. SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO

There were two primary noise sources intrinsic to the accelerometer; displacement of the proof mass due to thermally driven vibrations and change in output voltage as a result of charge fluctuations on the sensing capacitor. These two effects can be analyzed using the energy stored in the spring and the sensing capacitor as follows:

\[ \frac{1}{2} CV_{NC}^2 = \frac{1}{2} K_b T \]  
\[ \frac{1}{2} (2K)x^2 = \frac{1}{2} K_b T \]

where \( V_{NS} \) was the noise coming from mechanical vibrations and \( V_{NC} \) was the noise associated with charge fluctuations on the sensing capacitor. Using the Equation (15) and (16), the corresponding voltage fluctuations can be obtained as

\[ V_{NC} = \sqrt{\frac{K_b T}{C}} \]  
\[ V_{NS} = \sqrt{\frac{K_b T}{2K}} \]

It can be seen that the dominant noise comes from the thermal fluctuation of charge on the capacitor. The output signal for a given acceleration can be obtained from Equation (19) and thus the signal-to-noise ratio could be estimated using

\[ dB = 20\log \frac{V_{out}}{V_N} \]

Assuming the worse case of scenario, where a temperature of 85°C was reached and when the total capacitance of the device (100 fF) was used, \( V_N \) was about 225 µV. Figure 28 showed the calculated signal to noise ratio (SNR) as a function of acceleration assuming \( g_{ap} = 2 \) µm and \( V_s = 15 \) V. From Figure 28, it can be seen that a signal to noise ratio of 3 to 1 could be obtained when the acceleration is 1.3g.
The above analysis showed that the designed accelerometer could be operated with good stability in the 0 to 50g ranges. It also can withstand the required 225g shocks without damaging the springs or pushing the accelerometer to unstable region. The two-axes operation can be achieved by placing identical accelerometers with displacement axes perpendicular to each other.
VI. CONCLUSION

Two main functions were performed in this accelerometer design. First, the sensing region was designed to measure the acceleration, which produced a change in displacement of the proof mass, which changed the distance between electrodes and it produced a change in capacitance – easily measured. Second, a self-test region was designed within the accelerometer to facilitate self-tests/diagnostics of each individual accelerometer.

Finite element simulations were performed and their results indicated that when ignoring second and higher order terms result in very good agreement. The simple calculations results ranged from 2.7% to 4.3% away from the highly complex and time-consuming simulations.

The current design resulted in a very high spring force, which was strong enough to prevent the movable electrodes from hitting the fixed electrodes under the largest foreseeable accelerations (225g). This was favorable for stability, as the current design will never reach the unstable region of operations. Under the current design, a sensitivity of only $7mV/g$ was achieved.

Since PolyMUMPS provided three layers to construct the device and since only two layers (poly0 and poly1) were used in the current design, there was one more layer available to extend the accelerometer design.

Future work was also required to extend the 2-axis accelerometer into a 3-axis design. Building the third axis on the same planar design space will require some innovation.
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