

AD-701325

AD 701 325

Division of Engineering
BROWN UNIVERSITY
PROVIDENCE, R. I.



RIA-77-U992



TECHNICAL
LIBRARY

A CURVED FINITE ELEMENT FOR
THIN ELASTIC SHELLS

G. DUPUIS and J. -J. GOËL

Department of the Navy
Office of Naval Research
Contract No. N00014-67-A-0191-0007
Task Order NR-064-512
Technical Report No. 4

N00014-0007/4

December 1969

A CURVED FINITE ELEMENT FOR
THIN ELASTIC SHELLS*

by

G. Dupuis** and J.-J. Goël***

Abstract

This paper is concerned with a curved triangular finite shell element, which represents the rigid-body motions exactly and assures convergence in energy. The stiffness matrix is derived in a general way that is valid for all mathematical models which accept Kirchhoff's assumption. A numerical example is presented to indicate the quality of results that can be obtained with 9 or 18 degrees of freedom at each meshpoint and basic functions of classes C^1 or C^2 .

* This study was initiated while the authors were at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne (EPFL, Switzerland) and was supported by the National Foundation of Scientific Research (of Switzerland). The present report was prepared at Brown University in connection with a program of research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research, under Contract N00014-68-A-0191-0007.

** Now at Brown University, Division of Engineering, Providence, R. I. 02912, U.S.A.

*** Now at the University of Ottawa, Faculty of Pure and Applied Science, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose
of the United States Government.

1. INTRODUCTION

The application of the finite element method to shell problems has been the object of many papers. Leaving aside cases which are essentially one-dimensional by symmetry considerations, problems may be classed in three groups.

1. The most widely used method replaces the shell by a polyhedron and treats each face as a plate element (see [1-5]). Approaches of this kind differ from each other by the choice of shape functions and by the connections imposed between the elements. Note that these connections concern the nodal displacements and do not automatically ensure continuity of displacements along the sides of the elements. Some comparisons with exact solutions show that, in many cases, approximations of this kind are sufficient for engineering purposes. It should be noted, however, that this approach is without any mathematical support. It is not justifiable as an application of Ritz's method, because the functions used do not have the required continuity. Moreover, the relation to the general theories of thin elastic shells is tenuous, because these theories concern shells with smooth middle surfaces.

2. Another method treats the shell problem as a three-dimensional one, and uses curved finite elements which are called isoparametric (see [6-8]). This procedure, which is essentially used in arch dam problems, is primarily reserved for the relatively thick shells. In the same way, Amhad [9,10] proposed a method, in which the thickness of the shell plays a privileged role with respect to the other dimensions of the elements. This method, however, does not seem to be satisfactory when the shell becomes thin.

3. Some curved finite elements based on two-dimensional shell theory have been used (see [11-15]). They do not, however, assure the continuity of

displacements, or displacements derivatives, along the sides of the elements and do not represent the rigid-body motions exactly. Some numerical investigations concerning curved beam problems show that the last condition is essential for good numerical results. This remark has been confirmed theoretically in [16]. Contrary to what has occasionally been stated in the literature, the condition that rigid-body motions should be properly represented is essential, not for convergence in energy [17], but for acceptable rate of convergence. If this condition is fulfilled, it can show that the stresses and reactions computed from the approximate displacements assure the equilibrium of the shell, and this is, of course, of great practical importance.

In this paper, we construct a triangular shell element that guarantees convergence in energy and satisfies the condition of rigid-body motions, according to the following statements:

1. The unknown functions are the Cartesian components of the displacement.
2. The middle surface of the shell in both the undeformed and deformed states are defined, in Cartesian coordinates, as linear combinations of the same set of basic functions.
3. The strain energy vanishes exactly for all rigid-body motions of the middle surface.
4. The basic functions satisfy the conditions for convergence in energy.

In the following we shall make use of three types of basic functions; with one of them, the continuity conditions for the stress field are automatically satisfied.

Various mathematical models that are based on Kirchhoff's assumption differ in the expression of the extension and bending strains and in the constitutive equation. One of these models is therefore characterized by the

matrices A and B of the strain-displacement equations, the matrix K of the stress-strain relation and the boundary conditions. In fact, in view of the variational formulation, a model is completely defined by the three matrices A , B , and K . The kinematical conditions are the same for all models of **this** class and the statical conditions are the natural boundary conditions of the variational problem.

We shall consider here the model proposed by Koiter (see [18,19]), which is briefly surveyed in the second section. In section 3, we obtain the expression of the strain energy in Cartesian coordinates, from which we form the matrices A , B , and K . Section 4 deals with the discretisation of the boundary value problem while section 5 shows how to form the stiffness matrix of the element. An illustrative numerical example is given in the last section.

2. BASIC EQUATIONS

We give below an abstract of the basic equations of the Koiter's theory of thin shells (see [18, 19]), using the usual notations of tensor calculus* (see, for example, [20]).

Let Σ be the middle surface of the shell, defined by the equation $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(\theta^1, \theta^2)$; $\vec{a}_\alpha = \vec{r}_{,\alpha}$ the base vectors; $\vec{a}_3 = \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 / |\vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2|$ the normal to Σ ; $a_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_\alpha \cdot \vec{a}_\beta$ and $b_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a}_{\alpha,\beta} + \vec{a}_{\beta,\alpha}) \cdot \vec{a}_3$ the two fundamental quadratic forms on Σ . The shell considered is the volume defined by the equation $\vec{R}(\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3) = \vec{r}(\theta^1, \theta^2) + \theta^3 \vec{a}_3$, where $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in D$, $-h/2 \leq \theta^3 \leq h/2$; D is a domain of the plane (θ^1, θ^2) and h is the thickness of the shell.

The displacement of the middle surface Σ is defined by the vector field

$$\vec{v} = v_\alpha \vec{a}^\alpha + w \vec{a}_3, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{a}^\alpha = a^{\alpha\beta} \vec{a}_\beta$ are the contravariant base vectors and $((a^{\alpha\beta})) = ((a_{\alpha\beta}))^{-1}$ is the contravariant tensor metric. It is convenient for the following to introduce the antisymmetric tensor

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{\beta|\alpha} - v_{\alpha|\beta}), \quad (2)$$

which expresses the rotation of the middle surface around the normal. After deformation, the normal \vec{a}_3 becomes the vector $\vec{\bar{a}}_3 = \vec{a}_3 + u_\alpha \vec{a}^\alpha$; Kirchhoff's hypothesis yields the relation

$$u_\alpha = -(w_{,\alpha} + b_\alpha^\beta v_\beta). \quad (3)$$

The deformation of the shell is characterized by the two symmetric tensors

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{\alpha|\beta} + v_{\beta|\alpha} - 2b_{\alpha\beta} w),$$

* In this paper, Greek indices have the range 1, 2, a single stroke stands for covariant differentiation with respect to the surface metric and a comma denotes partial differentiation with respect to θ^α .

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{\alpha|\beta} + u_{\beta|\alpha} - b_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \omega_{\beta\gamma} - b_{\beta}^{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma}), \quad (4)$$

which respectively represent the extension of the middle surface and the variation of its curvature. The strain parameters have a very simple intrinsic significance. Let us calculate the two fundamental forms $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta}$ on the deformed surface $\bar{\Sigma}$; keeping only the linear terms in the displacement, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\alpha\beta}), \\ \rho_{\alpha\beta} &= - (\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (b_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} + b_{\beta}^{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

These relations show that, by a fundamental theorem of differential geometry of surfaces, the strains vanish indentially for all linearized rigid-body motions of the middle surface.

In the considered model, the strain energy density has the form

$$W = \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (h \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{h^3}{12} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}),$$

where

$$B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = G (a^{\alpha\delta} a^{\beta\gamma} + a^{\alpha\gamma} a^{\beta\delta} + 2\nu/(1-\nu) a^{\alpha\beta} a^{\gamma\delta}), \quad (6)$$

with $G = E/2(1+\nu)$, E being the elastic modulus and ν the Poisson ratio. It is shown in [18] that, within the three-dimensional theory of elasticity, the expression (6) is a consistent approximation with the hypothesis of the conservation of normals. The strain energy of the shell is

$$U_1 = \iint_{\Sigma} W \, d\sigma. \quad (7)$$

The state of stress of the shell is characterized by the symmetric tensors $n^{\alpha\beta}$

and $m^{\alpha\beta}$, defined by

$$n^{\alpha\beta} = \partial W / \partial \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad m^{\alpha\beta} = \partial W / \partial \rho_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (8)$$

$n^{\alpha\beta}$ and $m^{\alpha\beta}$ are the two-dimensional membrane and bending stresses.

From relations (6) and (8), we find the constitutive equation

$$n^{\alpha\beta} = h B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta}, \quad m^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{h^3}{12} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}. \quad (9)$$

The external loads acting on the shell are a surface load of density $\vec{p} = p^\alpha \vec{a}_\alpha + p \vec{a}_3$, applied to the middle surface Σ ; a line load of density $\vec{q} = q^\alpha \vec{a}_\alpha + q \vec{a}_3$ and a couple of density $\vec{m} = m_\alpha \vec{a}^\alpha$, both applied to the boundary Γ of Σ . The line force and couple are given on Γ_1 ; they are reactive forces on Γ_2 ($\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$). The potential of external loads is given by

$$U_2 = \iint_{\Sigma} (p^\alpha v_\alpha + p w) d\sigma + \int_{\Gamma} (q^\alpha v_\alpha + q w + e^{\alpha\beta} u_\alpha m_\beta) ds, \quad (10)$$

where $e^{\alpha\beta}$ is the antisymmetric tensor $e^{12} = -e^{21} = 1/\sqrt{a}$, $e^{11} = e^{22} = 0$.

The relations (7) and (10) define the potential energy of the shell

$U = U_1 - U_2$, that is the quadratic functional of the displacements v_α and w

$$\begin{aligned} U[v_\alpha, w] = & \iint_{\Sigma} \left[\frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (h \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{h^3}{12} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}) \right. \\ & \left. - (p^\alpha v_\alpha + p w) \right] d\sigma \\ & - \int_{\Gamma} (q^\alpha v_\alpha + q w + e^{\alpha\beta} u_\alpha m_\beta) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in this expression the components of the rotation u_α are defined by (3) and the strains $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ by equations (4).

The position of equilibrium of the shell is defined by the condition

$$\delta U = 0 \quad (12a)$$

and by the geometrical boundary conditions on Γ_2 . In the three simplest cases, these boundary conditions are

$$v_\alpha = 0, w = 0, u_n = 0 \quad \text{along a clamped edge, where } u_n \text{ is the normal rotation;}$$

$$v_\alpha = 0, w = 0 \quad \text{along a supported edge;} \quad (12b)$$

no kinematic condition along a free edge.

From relations (12) there follow the equilibrium equation in D , the natural boundary conditions on ∂D_1 (image of Γ_1 in the plan (θ^1, θ^2)) and the forces of reaction on ∂D_2 . The equilibrium equations so obtained coincide with the exact two-dimensional equilibrium equations given by Green and Zerna [20], if the tensor $m^{\alpha\beta}$ is supposed to be symmetric. It follows that the stresses solution of our boundary value problem ensure the equilibrium of all parts of the shell defined by $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in B \subset D, -h/2 \leq \theta^3 \leq h/2$.

3. STRAIN ENERGY IN CARTESIAN COORDINATES

Let (x_1, x_2, x_3) be a system of Cartesian coordinates, we define the middle surface Σ by the equation $x_3 = x_3(x_1, x_2)$ or $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(x_1, x_2)$, with $\vec{r}^T = (x_1, x_2, x_3(x_1, x_2))$. In order to simplify the writing, we shall use in the following the notations $z = x_3$, $z_\alpha = x_{3,\alpha}$, $z_{\alpha\beta} = x_{3,\alpha\beta}$. The base vectors on Σ can be written in that case

$$\vec{a}_1^T = (1, 0, z_1), \quad \vec{a}_2^T = (0, 1, z_2), \quad \vec{a}_3^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} (-z_1, -z_2, 1), \quad (13)$$

with $a = 1 + z_1^2 + z_2^2$. One deduces from them the two fundamental forms on Σ

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_\alpha \cdot \vec{a}_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + z_\alpha z_\beta, \quad b_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot \vec{a}_3 = \frac{z_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{a}}; \quad (14)$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is Kronecker's symbol.

Let u_1, u_2, u_3 be the Cartesian components of the displacement;* the deformed surface $\bar{\Sigma}$ is defined by the equation $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(x_1, x_2)$, where $\vec{r}^T = (x_1 + u_1(x_1, x_2), x_2 + u_2(x_1, x_2), z + u_3(x_1, x_2))$. On $\bar{\Sigma}$, the base vectors take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\bar{a}}_1^T &= (1 + u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, z_1 + u_{3,1}), \\ \vec{\bar{a}}_2^T &= (u_{1,2}, 1 + u_{2,2}, z_2 + u_{3,2}); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

from this, we can find the fundamental forms $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta}$. On keeping only the linear terms in u_i and their derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\alpha\beta} &= u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha} + z_\alpha u_{3,\beta} + z_\beta u_{3,\alpha}; \\ \bar{b}_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} [z_{\alpha\beta} (z_\gamma z_\lambda u_{\gamma,\lambda} - z_\gamma u_{3,\gamma})/a - z_\gamma u_{\gamma,\alpha\beta} + u_{3,\alpha\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

* Care will be taken to not confuse the Cartesian components of the displacement and the rotations defined by equation (3), which will not appear in the rest of this paper.

Formulas (5) and (16) define the strains as functions of the displacement. Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\epsilon}^T &= (\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{22}, \epsilon_{12}), & \vec{\rho}^T &= (\rho_{11}, \rho_{22}, \rho_{12}), \\ \vec{n}^T &= (n^{11}, n^{22}, n^{12}), & \vec{m}^T &= (m^{11}, m^{22}, m^{12});\end{aligned}$$

let $\vec{\partial}_x^n$ still be the symbolic vector of dimension n ($n = 3$ or 6), defined as

$$\vec{\partial}_x^{6T} = (1, \partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_1 \partial x_1, \partial x_2 \partial x_2, \partial x_1 \partial x_2);$$

$\vec{\partial}_x^3$ will be the three first components of this vector. If no confusion is possible, we shall write $\vec{\partial}^n$ rather than $\vec{\partial}_x^n$; in the same way, we shall omit the subscript n if it is not necessary to the understanding. The notation ∂_k will be the k th component of this vector. With these notations, the strain parameters can be set under the form

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\epsilon} &= (A_1, A_2, A_3) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial}u_1 \\ \vec{\partial}u_2 \\ \vec{\partial}u_3 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial}u_1 \\ \vec{\partial}u_2 \\ \vec{\partial}u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \vec{\rho} &= (B_1, B_2, B_3) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial}u_1 \\ \vec{\partial}u_2 \\ \vec{\partial}u_3 \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial}u_1 \\ \vec{\partial}u_2 \\ \vec{\partial}u_3 \end{pmatrix};\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where $\vec{\partial}$ is written for $\vec{\partial}_x^6$ and the matrices A and B , of dimension 3×18 , are the functions of $z, z_\alpha, z_{\alpha\beta}$ given in Table I.

Let us introduce in (6) the contravariant components of the metric deduced from (14), we get for the constitutive equation (9)

$$\vec{n} = C_m K \vec{\epsilon}, \quad \vec{m} = C_f K \vec{\rho};\tag{18}$$

where K is the 3×3 matrix given at Table II and $C_m = Eh/(1 - \nu^2)$, $C_f = Eh^3/12(1 - \nu^2)$. The physical components of stresses, that is to say, those relative to unit base vectors, are

$$n_{(\alpha\beta)} = n^{\alpha\beta} c_{(\alpha\beta)}, \quad m_{(\alpha\beta)} = m^{\alpha\beta} c_{(\alpha\beta)}, \quad (19)$$

where $c_{(11)} = \sqrt{a(1 + z_1^2)/(1 + z_2^2)}$, $c_{(22)} = \sqrt{a(1 + z_2^2)/(1 + z_1^2)}$,

$c_{(12)} = \sqrt{a}$. Both formulas (19) must be understood without sum on the indices α and β .

The strain energy of the shell can now be written as

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{2} \iint_D \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \delta^T u_i R_{ij} \delta u_j \sqrt{a} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$R_{ij} = C_m A_i^T K A_j + C_f B_i^T K B_j \quad (21)$$

is a 6×6 matrix only depending on the geometry of the surface (the functions z , z_α , $z_{\alpha\beta}$) and on the elastic coefficients C_m and C_f ; D is the projection of the shell in the plane (x^1, x^2) . In the same way, we could find the expression of the potential of the external loads, in Cartesian coordinates.

4. DISCRETIZATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let us divide the domain D into triangular elements, approximating the curved parts of ∂D by straight segments; we denote by \bar{D} the polygonal domain so formed and by $\partial \bar{D}$ its boundary. Let N be the number of nodes of the mesh; \bar{D}_i the domain formed by the triangles admitting P_i for vertex (see Fig. 1) and $\vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2)$ functions associated with the node P_i , having the following properties:

1. They vanish outside of the domain \bar{D}_i ;
2. They verify the conditions $\partial_k \psi_{i\ell}(P_j) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{k\ell}$, where $\psi_{i\ell}$ is the ℓ th component of the vector $\vec{\psi}_i$, ∂_k is the k th component of $\vec{\partial}_x^n$, P_j is a node of the domain \bar{D} and δ_{ij} the Kronecker symbol;
3. The functions $\vec{\psi}_i$ are of class C^1 with piecewise continuous partial derivatives of second order and square integrable. They satisfy the conditions of convergence in energy, relative to the variational problem of second order. These conditions are given in [17]; we recall them for the clearness of the following.

The basic functions $\vec{\psi}_i$ assure convergence in energy of variational problems of second order if, and only if, for all polynomials of second order $Q(x_1, x_2)$, one has the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\partial}^T Q(P_i) \cdot \vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2) = Q(x_1, x_2) . \quad (22)$$

In particular, this relation is of course verified for all polynomial of the first order in x_1, x_2 .

In the following, we consider a shell whose middle surface is of the form

$$z(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{z}_i^T \cdot \vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2) , \quad (23)$$

where $\vec{z}_i = \vec{\delta}z(P_i)$. Practically, one gives the vector \vec{z}_i at each meshpoint of the domain \bar{D} , which entirely define the surface. On the other hand, for our variational problem, we restrict the space of the three unknown functions u_1, u_2, u_3 to be a space of finite dimension, of the form

$$u_i(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^N \vec{\delta}^T u_i(P_j) \cdot \vec{\psi}_j(x_1, x_2), \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \quad (24)$$

With such a choice of admissible functions one can represent exactly the rigid-body motions of the surface. Indeed, a linearized rigid-body displacement may be written $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0 + \vec{\omega} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0)$, where $\vec{r}^T = (x_1, x_2, z(x_1, x_2))$; the components of \vec{u} are therefore of the form $\tilde{u}_i = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 z$ and, for such a function, one has the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \vec{\delta}^T \tilde{u}_i(P_j) \cdot \vec{\psi}_j(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{u}_i(x_1, x_2).$$

The proof is immediate: set $\tilde{u}_i = v + a_3 z$, v is a polynomial of the first order in x_1, x_2 for which we have the relation (22) and, from its definition, $z(x_1, x_2)$ has the form (23).

Besides, we show in the second section that the strain energy vanishes if, and only if, the middle surface of the shell undergoes a rigid-body displacement. It follows that the formulas (23) and (24) represent the rigid-body motions of the shell exactly.

It is convenient for the following to restrict the functions $\vec{\psi}_i$ on an element. Let Δ be the triangle of \bar{D} , admitting the vertex P_r, P_s, P_t and let us note $v(x_1, x_2)$ a function defined on Δ by the formulas (23) or (24). In order to lighten the writing, we introduce the vector \vec{v} , relative to the element Δ , defined as

$$\vec{v}^T = \left(\vec{\delta}^T v(P_r), \vec{\delta}^T v(P_s), \vec{\delta}^T v(P_t) \right) .$$

If we denote by $\vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2)$ the 3n functions $\vec{\psi}_r, \vec{\psi}_s, \vec{\psi}_t$ defined in Δ only, then $v(x_1, x_2)$ takes the form

$$v(x_1, x_2) = \vec{v}^T \vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2) . \quad (25)$$

Now, let us consider a linear mapping such that the triangle P_r, P_s, P_t of the plane (x_1, x_2) is mapped on the unit triangle of the plane (ξ_1, ξ_2) whose vertices are $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$ (see Fig. 2), defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_{1r} \\ x_{2r} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where

(26)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1s} - x_{1r} & x_{1t} - x_{1r} \\ x_{2s} - x_{2r} & x_{2t} - x_{2r} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

(x_{1r}, x_{2r}) being the coordinates of the node P_r . We have pointed out in [21] that the functions $\vec{\phi}$ relative to the triangle Δ , can be put under the form

$$\vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2) = T \vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2) , \quad (27)$$

the set (x_1, x_2) and (ξ_1, ξ_2) being linked by the relations (26). The matrix T characterizes the geometry of the triangle and $\vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ are some functions defined on the unit triangle of the plane (ξ_1, ξ_2) . The functions of the form (25) can therefore be written as

$$v(x_1, x_2) = \vec{v}^T T \vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2) . \quad (28)$$

The functions $\bar{\phi}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ are called basic functions of the plane (ξ_1, ξ_2) . In the following, we shall use the three types of basic functions given in [21] and summarized in Table III. For our variational problem, they define the subspaces of admissible functions of dimension $18N$, $18N$ and $9N$ respectively.

Remark

Koiter's model reviewed in the second section only involves the derivatives of second order of the function z . Of course, basic functions of class C^1 are sufficiently regular in this case. However, in some other models (for example, that given by Green and Zerna [20]), the expression of strain energy in Cartesian coordinates, make use of the derivatives of z of third order, and there it is necessary to use basic functions of class C^2 .

5. DERIVATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX OF AN ELEMENT

We now propose to calculate the contribution of an element to the strain energy (20), restricting the admissible functions to those of the form (24).

The contribution of the element Δ is

$$\Delta U_1 = \iint_{\Delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{\partial}_x^T u_i R_{ij} \vec{\partial}_x u_j \sqrt{a} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (29)$$

with $R_{ij} = C_m A_i^T K A_j + C_f B_i^T K B_j$. Let us effect the change of variable (26) in the integral (29). One has the formulas of derivation.

$$\vec{\partial}_x v = S \vec{\partial}_\xi v, \quad (30)$$

where S is a 6×6 matrix depending on the geometry of the triangle Δ , given at Table IV, with the notations

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (x_{2t} - x_{2r})/J, & t_2 &= -(x_{1t} - x_{1r})/J, \\ t_3 &= -(x_{2s} - x_{2r})/J, & t_4 &= (x_{1s} - x_{1r})/J; \\ J &= (x_{1s} - x_{1r})(x_{2t} - x_{2r}) - (x_{1t} - x_{1r})(x_{2s} - x_{2r}) \end{aligned}$$

being the Jacobian of the transformation. Substitution of (30) into (29) gives

$$\Delta U_1 = \iint \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{\partial}_\xi^T u_i \bar{R}_{ij} \vec{\partial}_\xi u_j \sqrt{a} |J| d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \quad (31)$$

where $\bar{R}_{ij} = C_m \bar{A}_i^T K \bar{A}_j + C_f \bar{B}_i^T K \bar{B}_j$, with $\bar{A}_i = SA_i$ and $\bar{B}_i = SB_i$. Let us now introduce the admissible functions (24) in this integral. Writing henceforth $\vec{\phi}$ rather than $\vec{\phi}$, the basic function of the plane (ξ_1, ξ_2) , we find

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \iint \partial_k \vec{\phi} \partial_l \vec{\phi}^T \bar{R}_{ijkl} \sqrt{a} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\} T^T \vec{u}_j .$$

The elements \bar{R}_{ijkl} of the matrix \bar{R}_{ij} depend in a nonlinear way, on the geometry of the surface. In order to enable us to effect the numerical integration once and for all, we interpolate this function as follows

$$\bar{R}_{ijkl}(\xi) \sqrt{a(\xi)} = \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} \theta_p(\xi) , \quad (32)$$

where θ_p are Lagrangian polynomials of interpolation, relative to the points I_p of the unit triangle and ξ stands for ξ_1, ξ_2 . The contribution of the element to the strain energy becomes then

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} G_{klp} \right\} T^T \vec{u}_j , \quad (33)$$

where G_{klp} are the matrices

$$G_{klp} = \iint \partial_k \vec{\phi}(\xi) \partial_l \vec{\phi}^T(\xi) \theta_p(\xi) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad (34)$$

which depend on the choice of the basic functions and the Lagrangian polynomials. For a given set of such functions, these integrals may be computed once and for all. Finally, the stiffness matrix of the element is defined by the relation

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T Q_{ij} T^T \vec{u}_j ,$$

with

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} G_{klp} . \quad (35)$$

6. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF COMPUTATION

Practically an element of shell is defined by its coordinates in the plane (x_1, x_2) , its vector \vec{z} and the thickness on the vertices.

We make use of Lagrangian polynomials of the third order, relative to the 10 points of interpolation shown at Figure 3. At each such point, we have to form the matrices A_i, B_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and K entirely defined by the geometry of the element. For this purpose, we compute the values of the function z and its derivatives at these points from the basic functions and the vector \vec{z} . We get then the matrices \bar{R}_{ij} , from which we draw the stiffness matrix.

To estimate numerically the integrals G_{klp} , we use the 7-points formula given in [23]. We found a satisfying accuracy on using these formulas on 64 sub-triangles by dividing each side of the unit triangle in 8 equal parts. Those coefficients are computed once and for all and kept on a tape.

The interpolation of functions \bar{R}_{ijkl} by means of the polynomials θ_p , yields that rigid-body motions cannot be represented exactly. However, some numerical experiments show that we get a very good approximation with the 10 points mentioned below, as soon as the mesh is rather fine (see [24]).

This element of shell has been introduced in a general purpose program, developed for the IBM 7040 computer of the EPFL (see [22]). This program deals with the formation of the master stiffness matrix and left-hand side of the structure, taking account of the boundary conditions; with the solving of the linear equations and the computation of stresses. One can introduce any linear conditions between the degrees of freedom of the structure and assemble elements of various kinds such as beam, plate, shell, etc. For the elements of shell, the program computes the physical components of in-plane and bending stresses at the corners and in the middle of the element. With the T3 basic functions,

the stresses are not continued at the nodes; in that case, one computes the average stresses at a node from the elements admitting this node for vertex.

7. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

We consider the shell shown in Figure 4; it is defined by the equation $z = 5 - x_1^2/20$, $-10 \leq x_1 \leq 10$, $-10 \leq x_2 \leq 10$; its uniform thickness is $h = 0.2$, and the elastic coefficients are $E = 2 \cdot 10^7$, $\nu = 0.15$.

This cylinder is supported along the edges $x_2 = \pm 10$, in such a way that we have $u_1 = u_3 = 0$; it is free along the edges $x_1 = \pm 10$. We propose to settle the field of displacement and the state of stress under a uniform pressure $p_3 = -1$.

We have computed the quarter of the shell, with the three meshes shown in Figure 5 and the three types of basic functions T1, T2 and T3. Some characteristic numerical results are given in Tables V and VI. From these results, we can draw the following conclusions:

1. With a coarse mesh, the elements T1 generally give a better approximation than the elements T2. The results are almost the same when the mesh becomes fine.
2. The elements T3 which have only 9 degrees of freedom at each node, instead of 18 for T1 and T2, lead to worse numerical results for a given time of computation.
3. If the mathematical model only requires basic functions of class C^1 , the elements T2 seems to be the best one.

Acknowledgment

The authors are indebted to Professor J. Descloux, EPFL - Switzerland, for helpful discussions and to Professor W. Prager, Brown University, for his help in correcting English translation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. O. C. Zienkiewicz, The Finite Element Method in Structural and Continuum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, London, 1967.
2. C. P. Johnson, A Finite Element Approximation of the Analysis of Thin Shells, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
3. A. J. Carr, A Refined Finite Element Analysis of Thin Shell Structures Including Dynamic Loadings, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
4. R. W. Clough and C. P. Johnson, A Finite Element Approximation for the Analysis of Thin Shells, Int. J. Solid Struct., 4, 43-60 (1968).
5. O. C. Zienkiewicz, C. Parekh and I. P. King, Arch Dams Analysed by a Linear Finite Element Shell Solution Program, Proc. Symp on Arch Dams, 19-22, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1968.
6. J. Ergatoudis, B. M. Irons, and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Three Dimensional Stress Analysis of Arch Dams by the Finite Element Method, Reports AD/1935 and AD/1745, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1966.
7. J. Ergatoudis, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Three Dimensional Stress Analysis of Arch Dams and Their Foundations, Proc. Symp. on Arch Dams, 37-50, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1968.
8. S. Ahmad, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Curved Thick Shell and Membrane Element with Particular Reference to Axi-Symmetric Problems, Proc. 2nd Conf. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
9. S. Ahmad, Curved Finite Elements in the Analysis of Solid, Shell and Plate Structures, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wales, Swansea, 1969.
10. S. Ahmad, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Analysis of Thick and Thin Shell Structures by General Curved Elements with Special Reference to Arch Dams, Research Report C/R/99/69, University of Wales, Swansea, 1969.
11. G. E. Strickland and W. A. Loden, A Doubly-Curved Triangular Shell Element, Proc. 2nd Conf. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
12. B. E. Greene, R. E. Jones and D. R. Stome, Dynamic Analysis of Shell Using Doubly Curved Finite Elements, Proc. 2nd Cong. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
13. J. J. Connor and C. Brabbia, Stiffness Matrix for Shallow Rectangular Shell Elements, J. Eng. Mech. Div., ASCE, 93, EM5, 43-65 (1967).
14. S. Utku, Stiffness Matrices for Thin Triangular Element of Nonzero Gaussian Curvature, AIAA J., 5, 1659-1667 (1967).

15. H. Fette, Gekrümmte finite Elemente zur Berechnung von Shaleutragwerken, Schriftenreihe der Institut für Konstruktiven Ingenieurbau, der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Heft 1, Düsseldorf, 1969.
16. Ph. Clément and J. Descloux, On the Rigid Displacement Condition, to appear.
17. J. J. Goël, Construction of Basic Functions for Numerical Utilisation of Ritz's Method, Num. Math., 12, 435-447 (1968).
18. The Theory of Thin Elastic Shells, Proc. Symp. IUTAM, Delft, 1959. Ed. by W. T. Koiter, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1960.
19. Theory of Thin Shells, Proc. Symp. IUTAM, Copenhagen, 1967 Ed. by F. L. Niordson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969.
20. A. E. Green and W. Zerna, Theoretical Elasticity, Oxford Press, Oxford, 1954.
21. G. Dupuis and J. J. Goël, Finite Elements with High Degree of Regularity, to appear.
22. C. Bossoney, G. Dupuis and J. J. Goël, CASTEL a General Purpose Program for Structural Analysis, Technical Report, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne, Department of Mathematics, Lausanne, 1969.
23. P. C. Hammer, O. J. Marlove and A. H. Strond, Numerical Integration over Simplex and Cones, MTAC, X, 130-136 (1956).
24. G. Dupuis and J. J. Goël, Some Numerical Results in Finite Element Analysis of Thin Elastic Shells, Technical Report, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne, Department of Mathematics, Lausanne, 1969.

Table I

$$A_1 =$$

	1	0			
	0	0			
	0	1/2			

$$A_2 =$$

	0	0			
	0	1			
	1/2	0			

$$A_3 =$$

	z_1	0			
	0	z_2			
	$z_2/2$	$z_1/2$			

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

	$-z_{11}z_1^2$	$-z_{11}z_1z_2$	$a z_1$		
	$+a_1$	$+a_2/2$			
	$-z_{22}z_1^2$	$-z_{22}z_1z_2$	$a z_1$		
		$+a_4/2$			
	$-z_{12}z_1^2$	$-z_{12}z_1z_2$			$a z_1$
	$+a_4/2$	$+(a_1+a_3)/4$			

Table I (continued)

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

	$-z_{11}z_1z_2$ $+\alpha_2/2$	$-z_{11}z_2^2$	az_2		
	$-z_{22}z_1z_2$ $+\alpha_4/2$	$-z_{22}z_2^2$ $+\alpha_3$		az_2	
	$-z_{12}z_1z_2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)/4$	$-z_{12}z_1^2$ $+\alpha_2/2$			az_2

$$B_3 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

	$z_{11}z_1$ $+\alpha_1z_1$ $+\alpha_2z_2/2$	$z_{11}z_2$ $+\alpha_2z_1/2$	-1		
	$z_{22}z_1$ $+\alpha_4z_2/2$	$z_{22}z_2$ $+\alpha_3z_2$ $+\alpha_4z_1/2$		-1	
	$z_{12}z_1$ $+\alpha_4z_1/2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)z_2/4$	$z_{12}z_2$ $+\alpha_2z_2/2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)z_1/4$			-1

$$\alpha_1 = (1 + z_2^2) z_{11} - z_1 z_2 z_{12} \quad ; \quad \alpha_2 = (1 + z_1^2) z_{12} - z_1 z_2 z_{11};$$

$$\alpha_3 = (1 + z_1^2) z_{22} - z_1 z_2 z_{12} \quad ; \quad \alpha_4 = (1 + z_2^2) z_{12} - z_1 z_2 z_{22}.$$

Table II

$$K = \frac{1}{a^2}$$

$(1 + z_2^2)^2$	$(1-v) z_1^2 z_2^2$ $+v(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$	$-2(1+z_2^2)z_1 z_2$
$(1-v)z_1^2 z_2^2$ $+v(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$	$(1 + z_1^2)^2$	$-2(1+z_1^2)z_1 z_2$
$-2(1+z_2^2)z_1 z_2$	$-2(1+z_1^2)z_1 z_2$	$2(1-v)(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$ $+2(1+v)z_1^2 z_2^2$

Table III

Type	n	Parameters associated at each node \vec{v} (vector \vec{v})	Dimension of the matrix Γ	Functions $\vec{\phi}$	Class
T1	6	$v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, v_{x_1 x_1}, v_{x_2 x_2}, v_{x_1 x_2}$	18 x 30	Rational functions	C^2
T2	6	$v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, v_{x_1 x_1}, v_{x_2 x_2}, v_{x_1 x_2}$	18 x 21	Polynomials of the 5th degree	C^1
T3	3	v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}	9 x 12	Rational functions	C^1

Table IV

S =

1					
	t_1	t_3			
	t_2	t_4			
			t_1^2	t_3^2	$2t_1t_3$
			t_2^2	t_4^2	$2t_2t_4$
			t_1t_2	t_3t_4	t_1t_4 $+t_2t_3$

Table V

Point	Mesh 1 (Fig. 5a)			Mesh 2 (Fig. 5b)			Mesh 3 (Fig. 5c)			
	Elements	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3
A	$u_1 \times 10^2$	0.842	0.731	0.476	0.772	0.760	0.722	0.7663	0.7662	0.7613
	$u_3 \times 10^2$	-1.117	-0.999	-0.658	-1.039	-1.024	-0.975	-1.0315	-1.0315	-1.0251
B	$u_1 \times 10^2$	0.110	0.130	0.087	0.114	0.115	0.112	0.1144	0.1146	0.1143
	$u_3 \times 10^2$	-0.165	-0.203	-0.143	-0.175	-0.177	-0.174	-0.1759	-0.1761	-0.1760
C	$u_3 \times 10^2$	0.155	0.179	0.109	0.159	0.160	0.155	0.1594	0.1596	0.1589

Table VI

		Mesh 1 (Fig. 5a)		Mesh 2 (Fig. 5b)		Mesh 3 (Fig. 5c)	
Point	Elements	T2	T3	T2	T3	T2	T3
	Stress						
A	$n_{(22)}$	280.0	125.7	272.2	228.9	272.4	261.6
	$m_{(22)}$	3.73	2.38	3.73	3.63	3.74	3.93
B	$n_{(22)}$	-69.2	-42.4	-69.2	-66.9	-69.8	-69.3
	$m_{(22)}$	0.78	0.97	0.25	0.56	0.21	0.30
C	$n_{(22)}$	55.1	64.9	48.1	57.9	48.0	50.6
	$m_{(22)}$	-1.03	-0.82	-1.08	-1.04	-1.06	-1.01




FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3








FIG. 4



(a)



(b)



(c)

FIG. 5

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

PART I - GOVERNMENT

Administrative & Liaison Activities

Chief of Naval Research
Attn: Code 439 (2)
468
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
495 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
Box 39
c/o Fleet Post Office
New York, N. Y. (5)
10011

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
207 West 24th Street
New York, New York 10011

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
1030 E. Green Street
Pasadena, California 91101

U. S. Naval Research Laboratory
Attn: Technical Information Div.
Washington, D. C. 20390 (6)

Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20)

Army

Commanding Officer
U. S. Army Research Off.-Durham
Attn: Mr. J. J. Murray
CRD-AA-IP
Box CM, Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Commanding Officer
AMXMR-ATL
U. S. Army Materials Res. Agcy.
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Redstone Scientific Info. Center
Chief, Document Section
U. S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

AMSMI-RKP
Attn: Mr. T. H. Duerr
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Ballistic Research Laboratories
Attn: Dr. A. S. Elder
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Ballistic Research Laboratories
Attn: Mr. H. P. Oay
AMXER-ID
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Navy

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 042 (Cent. Lib. Br.)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 050
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 700 (Struc. Mech. Lab.)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 720
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 725
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 740 (Mr. W. J. Sette)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 901 (Dr. M. Strassberg)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 941 (Dr. R. Liebowitz)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 945 (Mr. A. O. Sykes)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 960 (Mr. E. F. Noonan)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director
Attn: Code 962 (Dr. E. Buchmann)
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer & Director
Code 257, Library
U. S. Navy Marine Engr. Lab.
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Commander
Technical Library
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station
Pasadena Annex
3202 E. Foothill Blvd.
Pasadena, California 91107

U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station
Attn: Dr. Arnold Adicoff
Code 5056
China Lake, California 93557

Commander
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station
Mechanical Engineering Division
Code 556
China Lake, California 93557

Commanding Officer & Director
U. S. Naval Civil Engr. Lab.
Code L31
Port Hueneme, California 93041

Shinyard Technical Library
Code 242L
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03804

U. S. Naval Electronics Laboratory
Attn: Dr. R. J. Christensen
San Diego, California 92152

U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory
Mechanics Division
RFD 1, White Oak
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory
Attn: Mr. H. A. Perry, Jr.
Non-Metallic Materials Division
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Supervisor of Shipbuilding
U. S. Navy
Newport News, Virginia 23607

Director
U. S. Navy Underwater Sound Ref. Lab.
Office of Naval Research
P. O. Box 8337
Orlando, Florida 32806

Technical Library
U. S. Naval Propellant Plant
Indian Head, Maryland 20640

U. S. Naval Propellant Plant
Attn: Dr. J. G. Tuono
Research & Development Division
Indian Head, Maryland 20640

Chief of Naval Operations
Attn: Code Op-03EG
Op-07T
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20350

Director, Special Projects
Attn: Sp-001
L3
2731

Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Executive Secretary PLRRD
Special Projects Office (Sp-00110)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

U. S. Naval Applied Science Lab.
Code 9832
Technical Library
Building 291, Naval Base
Brooklyn, New York 11251

Director
Aeronautical Materials Lab.
Naval Air Engineering Center
Naval Base
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112

Director
Aeronautical Structures Lab.
Naval Air Engineering Center
Naval Base
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112

Commander
U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory
Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

Navy (cont'd)

Director
Attn: Code 5360
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code 5500
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code 6200
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code 6210
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code 6250
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code 6260
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director
Attn: Code Technical Library
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C. 20390

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 210-L
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 305
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 345
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 421
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 423
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 430
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 440
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 442
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 443
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Attn: Code 1500
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code DLI-3
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code R-12
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RAAD-2
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RAAD-24 (Mr. E. M. Ryan)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RM
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RMMP-2
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RMMP-11 (Mr. I. Silver)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RMMP-22 (Mr. J. C. Ardinger)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RR
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RRRE
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RRRE-61 (Mr. W. J. Marciniak)
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
Attn: Code RU
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20360

Bureau of Yards & Docks Tech. Lib.
Yards & Docks Annex
Department of the Navy
Washington, D. C. 20390

Mr. Ernest A. Hogge
Head, Scientific Support Division
U. S. Navy Mine Defense Laboratory
Panama City, Florida 32402

Mr. Gareth A. Bornstein
U.S. Naval Propellant Plant
Indian Head, Md. 20640

Air Force

Commander
Chief, Applied Mechanics Group
U. S. Air Force Inst. of Tech.
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Chief, Civil Engineering Branch
WLRC, Research Division
Air Force Weapons Laboratory
Kirtland AFB, New Mexico 87117

Commander
AFRPL (RPMC/Dr. F. N. Kelley)
Edwards AFB, California 93523

Commander
Attn: Mr. A. L. Skinner, OOMQOC
Hill AFB, Utah 84401

Commander
Mechanics Division
Air Force Office of Scien. Res.
Washington, D. C. 20333

Commander, WADD
Attn: Code WWRMDD
AFFDL (FDSS)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD
Attn: Code WWRMDS
AFFDL (FDT)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD
Attn: Code WCLSY
SEG (SEFSD, Mr. Larkin)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD
Attn: Code WWRC
AFML (MAAM)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD
Attn: Structures Division
AFLC (MCEEA)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

NASA

Structures Research Division
Attn: Mr. R. R. Heldenfels, Chief
National Aeronautics & Space Admin.
Langley Research Center
Langley Station
Hampton, Virginia 23365

National Aeronautics & Space Admin.
Code RV-2
Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics & Space Admin.
Associate Administrator for Advanced
Research & Technology
Washington, D. C. 20546

Scientific & Tech. Info. Facility
NASA Representative (S-AK/DL)
P. O. Box 5700
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Other Government Activities

Commandant
Chief, Testing & Development Div.
U. S. Coast Guard
1300 E Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20226

Director
Marine Corps Landing Force Deval. Cen.
Marine Corps Schools
Quantico, Virginia 22134

Director
Attn: Mr. B. L. Wilson
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D. C. 20234

National Science Foundation
Engineering Division
1951 Constitution Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20550

Other Government Activities

Science & Tech. Division
Library of Congress
Washington, D. C. 20540

Director
STBS
Defense Atomic Support Agency
Washington, D. C. 20301

Commander Field Command
Defense Atomic Support Agency
Sandia Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency
Blast & Shock Division
The Pentagon
Washington, D. C. 20301

Director Defense Research & Engr.
Technical Library
Room 3C-128
The Pentagon
Washington, D. C. 20301

Chief, Airframe & Equipment Branch
FS-120
Office of Flight Standards
Federal Aviation Agency
Washington, D. C. 20553

Chief, Division of Ship Design
Maritime Administration
Washington, D. C. 20235

Deputy Chief, Office of Ship Constr.
Attn: Mr. U. L. Russo
Maritime Administration
Washington, D. C. 20235

Executive Secretary
Committee on Undersea Warfare
National Academy of Sciences
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20418

Ship Hull Research Committee
Attn: Mr. A. R. Lytle
National Research Council
National Academy of Sciences
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20418

PART II- CONTRACTORS AND OTHER
TECHNICAL COLLABORATORS

Universities

Prof. P. J. Blatz
Science Center of N. America
Rockwell Corp.
Thousand Oaks, Calif.
91360

Prof. Julius Miklowitz
Div. of Engr. & Applied Sciences
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91109

Prof. George Sih
Department of Mechanice
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Prof. Dr. Dogan E. Gucer
Makina Fakultesi
Istanbul Teknik Univ.
Istanbul, TURKEY

Prof. Eli Sternberg
Div. of Engr. & Applied Sciences
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91109

Prof. Paul M. Naghdi
Div. of Applied Mechanice
Etcheverry Hall
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Prof. J. Baltrukonis
Mechanics Division
The Catholic Univ. of America
Washington, D. C. 20017

Prof. A. J. Durelli
Mechanics Division
The Catholic Univ. of America
Washington, D. C. 20017

Prof. H. H. Bleich
Department of Civil Engr.
Columbia University
Amsterdam & 120th Street
New York, New York 10027

Prof. R. D. Mindlin
Department of Civil Engr.
Columbia University
S. W. Mudd Building
New York, New York 10027

Professor B. A. Boley
Dept. of Theo. & Appl. Mechanics
Cornell University
Ithaca, N. Y. 14850

Prof. F. L. DiMaggio
Department of Civil Engr.
Columbia University
616 Mudd Building
New York, New York 10027

Professor A. M. Freudenthal
School of Engineering
The George Washington University
Washington, D. C. 20006

Professor W. A. Nash
Mech. & Aerospace Eng.
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 10002

Prof. B. Budiansky
Div. of Engr. & Applied Physics
Pierce Hall
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Prof. P. G. Hodge
Department of Mechanics
Illinois Institute of Technology
Chicago, Illinois 60616

Prof. H. T. Corten
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Prof. W. J. Hall
Department of Civil Engr.
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Prof. N. M. Newmark
Dept. of Civil Engineering
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Dr. W. H. Avery
Applied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins University
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Prof. J. B. Tiedemann
Dept. of Aero. Engr. & Arch.
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Prof. S. Taira
Department of Engineering
Kyoto University
Kyoto, Japan

Library (Code 0384)
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

Professor Eric Reissner
Department of AMES
University of California, San Diego
P. O. Box 109
La Jolla, California 92037

Prof. E. L. Reiss
Courant Inst. of Math. Sciences
New York University
4 Washington Place
New York, New York 10003

Dr. Francis Cozzarelli
Div. of Interdisciplinary
Studies and Research
School of Engineering
State Univ. of N.Y. at Buffalo
Buffalo, New York 14224

Dr. George Herrmann
The Technological Institute
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Director, Ordnance Research Lab.
The Pennsylvania State University
P. O. Box 30
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Prof. Eugen J. Skudrzyk
Department of Physics
Ordnance Research Lab.
The Pennsylvania State University
P. O. Box 30
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Dean Oscar Baguio
College of Engineering
University of Philippines
Quezon City, Philippines

Prof. J. Kempner
Dept. of Aero. Engr. & Applied Mech.
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
333 Jay Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Prof. J. Klosner
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
333 Jay Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Prof. F. R. Eirich
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
333 Jay Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Professor A. C. Eringen
School of Astro. & Mech. Engr. Sci.
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Dr. S. L. Koh
School of Aero., Astro. & Engr. Sc.
Purdue University
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Prof. D. Schapery
Purdue University
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Prof. E. H. Lee
Div. of Engr. Mechanics
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. R. J. H. Bollard
Chairman, Aeronautical Engr. Dept.
207 Guggenheim Hall
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

Universities (cont'd)

Dr. Nicholas J. Hoff
Dept. of Aero. & Astro.
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. J. N. Goodier
Div. of Engr. Mechanics
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. Markus Reiner
Technion R & D Foundation, Ltd.
Haifa, Israel

Prof. Tsuyoshi Hayashi
Department of Aeronautics
Faculty of Engineering
University of Tokyo
BUNKYO-KU
Tokyo, Japan

Prof. Albert S. Kobayashi
Dept. of Mechanical Engr.
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

Officer-in-Charge
Post Graduate School for Naval Off.
Webb Institute of Naval Arch.
Crescent Beach Road, Olsen Cove
Long Island, New York 11542

Prof. James Mar
Dept. of Aero. & Astro.
Mass. Inst. of Tech.
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Prof. J. Edmund Fitzgerald
Chairman, Dept. of Civil Engineering
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Mr. Ronald D. Brown
Applied Physics Laboratory
Chemical Propulsion Agency
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Research and Development
Electric Boat Division
General Dynamics Corporation
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN,
and Naval Insp. of Ordnance
Electric Boat Division
General Dynamics Corporation
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Dr. L. H. Chen
Basic Engineering
Electric Boat Division
General Dynamics Corporation
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Mr. Rose H. Petty
Technical Librarian
Allegany Ballistics Lab.
Hercules Powder Company
P. O. Box 210
Cumberland, Maryland 21501

Dr. J. H. Thacher
Allegany Ballistic Laboratory
Hercules Powder Company
Cumberland, Maryland 21501

Dr. Joshua E. Greenspon
J. G. Engr. Research Associates
3831 Menlo Drive
Baltimore, Maryland 21215

Mr. R. F. Landel
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
4800 Oak Grove Drive
Pasadena, California 91103

Mr. G. Lewis
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
4800 Oak Grove Drive
Pasadena, California 91103

Dr. G. G. Makepeace
Director, Research & Engineering
Lockheed Propulsion Company
P. O. Box 111
Redlands, California 92374

Library
Newport News Shipbuilding &
Dry Dock Company
Newport News, Virginia 23607

Mr. Cezar P. Nuguid
Deputy Commissioner
Philippine Atomic Energy Commission
Manila, Philippines

Mr. S. C. Britton
Solid Rocket Division
Rocketdyne
P. O. Box 548
McGregor, Texas 76657

Dr. A. J. Ignatowski
Redstone Arsenal Research Div.
Rohm & Haas Company
Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Dr. M. L. Merritt
Division 5412
Sandia Corporation
Sandia Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Director
Ship Research Institute
Ministry of Transportation
700, SHINKAWA
Mitaka
Tokyo, JAPAN

Dr. R. C. DeHart
Southwest Research Institute
8500 Culebra Road
San Antonio, Texas 78206

Dr. Thor Smith
Stanford Research Institute
Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. M. L. Baron
Paul Weidlinger, Consulting Engr.
777 Third Ave. - 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10017

Mr. K. W. Bills, Jr.
Dept. 4722, Bldg. 0525
Aerojet-General Corporation
P. O. Box 1947
Sacramento, California 95809

Dr. James H. Wiegand
Senior Dept. 4720, Bldg. 0525
Ballistics & Mech. Properties Lab.
Aerojet-General Corporation
P. O. Box 1947
Sacramento, California 95809

Dr. T. C. Fan
The Rand Corporation
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California 90406

Mr. E. A. Alexander, Research Dept.
Rocketdyne Division
North American Aviation, Inc.
6633 Canoga Avenue
Canoga Park, California 91304

Industry and Research Institutes

Mr. J. S. Wise
Aerospace Corporation
P. O. Box 1308
San Bernardino, California 92402

Dr. Vito Salerno
Applied Technology Assoc., Inc.
29 Church Street
Ramsey, New Jersey 07446

Library Services Department
Report Section, Bldg. 14-14
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 S. Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60440

Dr. E. M. Kerwin
Bolt, Beranek, & Newman, Inc.
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. M. C. Junger
Cambridge Acoustical Associates
129 Mount Auburn Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. F. R. Schwarzl
Central Laboratory T.N.O.
134 Julianalaan
Delft, Holland

Dr. H. N. Abramson
Southwest Research Institute
8500 Culebra Road
Sao Antonio, Texas 78206

Unclassified
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Brown University Division of Engineering		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP N/A	
3. REPORT TITLE A Curved Finite Element for Thin Elastic Shells			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and Inclusive dates) Technical Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) George Dupuis and Jean-Jacques Goel			
6. REPORT DATE December 1969		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 32	7b. NO. OF REFS 24
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. N00014-67-A-0191-0007		8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) N00014-0007/4	
8c. PROJECT NO. NR 064-512		8d. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research Boston Branch Office, 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	
13. ABSTRACT This paper is concerned with a curved triangular finite shell element, which represents the rigid-body motions exactly and assures convergence in energy. The stiffness matrix is derived in a general way that is valid for all mathematical models which accept Kirchhoff's assumption. A numerical example is presented to indicate the quality of results that can be obtained with 9 or 18 degrees of freedom at each meshpoint and basic functions of classes C^1 or C^2 .			

DD FORM 1 NOV 65 1473

Unclassified
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Structural mechanics						
Finite element analysis						
Elastic shells						
Doubly-curved finite element						